



Source: Refinitiv

| Market data | |
|---------------|-------|
| EPIC/TKR | SVT |
| Price (p) | 2,053 |
| 12m High (p) | 2,100 |
| 12m Low (p) | 1,758 |
| Shares (m) | 237 |
| Mkt Cap (£bn) | 4.9 |
| EV (£bn) | 10.7 |
| Free Float | 100% |
| Market | Main |

Description

Severn Trent supplies 4.3m homes and businesses as a regulated water and sewerage company, privatised in 1989. The ongoing price review – AMP 7 – and possible re-nationalisation are the two key issues.

Company information

| CEO | Liv Garfield |
|----------|---------------|
| CFO | James Bowling |
| Chairman | Andrew Duff |

Tel: 07889806578

| Key shareholders | |
|---------------------------|-------|
| Black Rock | 7.80% |
| Reef Real Estate | 4.34% |
| Aberdeen Standard Inv. | 3.94% |
| Legal & General Inv. Man. | 3.48% |
| Maple-Brown Abbott | 3.32% |
| Lazard Asset Man. | 3.10% |

| Diary | |
|--------|-----------------------------|
| 13 Jun | Ex-dividend date |
| 17 Jul | AGM |
| 19 Jul | Div. payment date |
| 21 Nov | Interim results for 2019/20 |

| Analysts | |
|---------------|---------------------|
| Nigel Hawkins | 020 7194 7622 |
| | nh@hardmanandco.com |

SEVERN TRENT

An Ofwat fast-tracker

Severn Trent (SVT) is one of 10 water companies privatised in 1989, of which just three remain publicly quoted. SVT supplies 4.3m homes and businesses with clean water and sewerage services. Its base is in the Midlands; following the acquisition of Dee Valley, it now has a Welsh business, Hafren Dyfrdwy. The water sector is now in the throes of a key price review – the new pricing formula will apply as from April 2020. Ofwat has awarded 'fast-tracker' status to SVT, enabling it to secure a better deal than its peers – a dividend cut in 2020/21 is possible. Re-nationalisation by an incoming Labour Government is also a risk.

- ▶ Strategy: SVT seeks to deliver its £700m+ annual water investment programme, including the Birmingham Resilience Project, as efficiently as possible, whilst improving customer service. SVT is also at the centre of a major new Midlands-based house-building programme, requiring large water infrastructure expenditure.
- ▶ Water/sewerage: The core business delivering clean water and sewerage services to its defined customer base is key. The ongoing price review is undoubtedly far tougher than its predecessor. SVT's well-received 2018/19 full-year results showed inherent core business strength, contributing £527m of PBIT.
- ➤ Valuation: SVT's quoted valuation is £4.9bn. The prime valuation parameter is its Regulated Asset Value (RAV), which was £9.3bn at March 2019. It is this figure that is used as the denominator by Ofwat to calculate its price determination: indirectly, it sets the scope for dividend growth.
- ▶ Risks: Currently, water companies face two major risks: i) the price review as a 'fast-tracker', SVT is better placed than many of its peers: focus will be on its dividend growth potential after 2019/20; and ii) possible re-nationalisation by an incoming Labour Government any shareholder compensation could be meagre.
- ▶ Investment summary: SVT's finances, despite net debt of £5.8bn, remain strong. Importantly, to boost its dividend growth potential, it has built up £177m of ODIs (Outcome Delivery Incentives) to use in the 2020/21-2024/25 regulatory period. Political concerns are also weighing heavily on utility sector share prices. 2020/21 figures below are primarily illustrative, given uncertainties on the AMP 7 outcome.

| Financial summary and valuation | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| Year-end Mar (£m) | 2017/18A | 2018/19A | 2019/20F | 2020/21F | |
| Turnover | 1,696 | 1,767 | 1,825 | 1,829 | |
| Operating costs (underlying) | -1,156 | -1,193 | -1,240 | -1,289 | |
| PBIT (underlying) | 540 | 574 | 585 | 540 | |
| PBT (reported) | 301 | 385 | 373 | 321 | |
| EPS (p) (underlying) | 120.5 | 145.8 | 155.1 | 131.8 | |
| DPS (p) | 86.6 | 93.4 | 100.1 | 103.1 | |
| Dividend cover | 1.39 | 1.56 | 1.55 | 1.28 | |
| Net debt (m) | 5,357 | 5,834 | 6,300 | 6,750 | |
| Yield | 4.2% | 4.5% | 4.9% | 5.0% | |
| P/E (x) | 17.0 | 14.1 | 13.2 | 15.6 | |

N.B. there have been some accounting restatements in Source: Hardman & Co Research



Background

Privatised in 1989

The 10 former Water Authorities were controversially privatised in 1989, with Thames, Severn Trent and United Utilities (formerly North West Water) being the largest.

Just three remain publicly quoted

Of these 10 companies, only three remain publicly quoted. Along with Severn Trent, United Utilities and Pennon, which owns South West Water, make up the quoted water sector.

Like all water companies – apart from those in Scotland and Northern Ireland, which remain in the public sector – Severn Trent, as an effective monopoly supplier, is dependent upon the five-year price review to determine its future revenue base.

Ofwat assesses – in considerable detail – the finances of each water company and its ongoing operating and capital costs to prescribe a price formula, which is set to last for five years. The current price review, AMP 7, will apply as from April 2020.

Since privatisation in 1989, the five-year pricing formulas have become increasingly testing; simultaneously, debt levels have risen to accommodate very substantial investment expenditure – and the negative cash flows that result.

Investment levels have continued to rise, with Severn Trent investing an average of ca.£650m per year. The Birmingham Resilience Project, a key programme, was the largest AMP 6 single project in the water sector.

More generally, Severn Trent's five-year record is a steady one, showing real progress, especially in terms of managing its debt effectively – but challenges remain.

Furthermore, having been accorded 'fast-tracker' status by Ofwat, Severn Trent is ahead of most of its peers, although both quoted water stocks, United Utilities and Pennon (the owner of South West Water), are the only other 'fast-tracked' companies.

2018/19 full-year results

Severn Trent has recently announced its full-year results for 2018/19, which were generally impressive and therefore well-received. Notable progress was reported on the debt financing front, with a sharp reduction in interest costs. Highlights were as follows:

- ▶ Underlying PBIT of £574m, an increase of 6.3% on 2017/18.
- ► A 21% increase in underlying earnings per share 145.8p compared with 120.5p in the previous year. Reduction in the effective average interest rate by 60bps to 3.9%.
- ▶ A 7.9% full-year dividend increase; this is based on a 4% annual real growth uplift.
- ► A total of £177m of ODIs (Outcome Delivery Incentives), which will buttress dividend cover in AMP 7.
- ► The maintenance of the lowest average combined bills in England at £354 per household per year.
- ▶ Investment expenditure in 2018/19 of £769m.

Ofwat's deep review

Quinquennial price reviews

'Fast-tracker' status puts Severn Trent ahead of the game

Impressive results



► Good progress on renewable energy self-generation – the 50% target should be reached during this financial year.

Re-nationalisation

For the first time since the utility privatisation programme of the 1980s and early 1990s, the water and electricity networks companies face a real threat of renationalisation if there were a General Election and an incoming Labour Government won a sufficient majority to enable it to honour its manifesto commitment to renationalise these companies.

In effect, Labour's declared policy is to establish regional water businesses, which would be publicly owned.

For shareholders, there would be some compensation, although its level is highly debatable. The Labour Party has confirmed that the amount would be set by Parliament and that it would be paid in gilt-edged stock rather than in cash.

Despite serious legal constraints, the Human Rights Act 1998 and several Investment Treaties, notably in Asia, shareholder compensation is expected to be meagre. There are some precedents, such as Railtrack, which was re-nationalised in 2001. In this case, the compensation eventually paid out was ca.15% of Railtrack's peak market value.

Finances

For a utility, Severn Trent's finances are robust – and far better than those of Thames, whose net debt level is close to double that of Severn Trent. In its 2018/19 full-year results, Severn Trent confirmed net debt of £5.8bn, which represented just over 60% of its Regulatory Asset Value (RAV).

In recent years, Severn Trent's dividend policy has been progressive. This factor drove up its share price, prior to more widespread market concerns about the financial impact of AMP 7 and the profound political uncertainties associated with Brexit that could propel the Labour Party into government – and a utility re-nationalisation programme.

In 2018/19, Severn Trent raised its annual dividend by 7.9%, based on its long-standing AMP 6 policy of RPI+4% annual increases.

For this year, Severn Trent has recently reiterated its dividend growth guidance of at least RPI+4%, which is expected to give rise to a full-year dividend of 100.08p.

After 2019/20, the regulatory review outcome will be the key determinant of future dividend policy. A dividend cut could be accompanied by a commitment of decent progressive dividend growth; alternatively, a low divided cover could persist, with modest dividend growth thereafter. Once the financial outcome of AMP 7 is known, Severn Trent will be in a position to set out its longer-term dividend growth expectations.

However, Severn Trent's formidable carry-forward of ODIs into AMP 7, now worth £177m, provides very real financial ballast; they can be deployed progressively during 2020/21 and 2024/25, thereby obviating the need for a dividend cut.

A real threat

The Railtrack precedent

Net debt/RAV at ca.60%

Dividend up by RPI+4%

New ballgame after 2019/20

Formidable ODIs ballast

06 June 2019



Front-loaded price cuts

Solid performance

With respect to price cuts in the 2020/21 to 2024/25 period, Severn Trent's business plan confirmed its intention to reduce average prices by 5% over the five years; the proposed price cuts are expected to be somewhat front-loaded

Five-year performance

The table below shows how Severn Trent has performed in recent years. The overall record is very solid, although there was a dividend cut in 2015/16 to reflect the tougher regulatory regime of AMP 6, compared with its AMP 5 predecessor. The data was extracted from Severn Trent's 2018 Annual Report; there have been some restatements subsequently, which account for minor variations in the 2017/18 figures.

| Five-year financial summary | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Year-end Mar (£m) | 2017/18 | 2016/17 | 2015/16 | 2014/15 | 2013/14 |
| Turnover | 1,694 | 1,638 | 1,754 | 1,801 | 1,757 |
| PBIT (underlying) | 541 | 520 | 503 | 540 | 524 |
| PBT | 302 | 328 | 303 | 148 | 319 |
| EPS (underlying) (p) | 121.0 | 115.7 | 102.1 | 107.02 | 92.5 |
| Dividends (ex-special) (p) | 86.6 | 81.5 | 80.7 | 84.9 | 80.4 |
| Dividend cover (x) | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Net debt | 5,357 | 5,082 | 4,823 | 4,753 | 4,475 |

Source: Hardman & Co Research



Notes



Notes



Disclaimer

Hardman & Co provides professional independent research services and all information used in the publication of this report has been compiled from publicly available sources that are believed to be reliable. However, no guarantee, warranty or representation, express or implied, can be given by Hardman & Co as to the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of the information contained in this research and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or results obtained from use of such information. Neither Hardman & Co, nor any affiliates, officers, directors or employees accept any liability or responsibility in respect of the information which is subject to change without notice and may only be correct at the stated date of their issue, except in the case of gross negligence, fraud or wilful misconduct. In no event will Hardman & Co, its affiliates or any such parties be liable to you for any direct, special, indirect, consequential, incidental damages or any other damages of any kind even if Hardman & Co has been advised of the possibility thereof.

This research has been prepared purely for information purposes, and nothing in this report should be construed as an offer, or the solicitation of an offer, to buy or sell any security, product, service or investment. The research reflects the objective views of the analyst(s) named on the front page and does not constitute investment advice. However, the companies or legal entities covered in this research may pay us a fixed fee in order for this research to be made available. A full list of companies or legal entities that have paid us for coverage within the past 12 months can be viewed at http://www.hardmanandco.com/legals/research-disclosures. Hardman may provide other investment banking services to the companies or legal entities mentioned in this report.

Hardman & Co has a personal dealing policy which restricts staff and consultants' dealing in shares, bonds or other related instruments of companies or legal entities which pay <math>Hardman & Co for any services, including research. No Hardman & Co staff, consultants or officers are employed or engaged by the companies or legal entities covered by this document in any capacity other than through Hardman & Co.

Hardman & Co does not buy or sell shares, either for their own account or for other parties and neither do they undertake investment business. We may provide investment banking services to corporate clients. Hardman & Co does not make recommendations. Accordingly, they do not publish records of their past recommendations. Where a Fair Value price is given in a research note, such as a DCF or peer comparison, this is the theoretical result of a study of a range of possible outcomes, and not a forecast of a likely share price. Hardman & Co may publish further notes on these securities, companies and legal entities but has no scheduled commitment and may cease to follow these securities, companies and legal entities without notice.

The information provided in this document is not intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity in any jurisdiction or country where such distribution or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would subject Hardman & Co or its affiliates to any registration requirement within such jurisdiction or country.

Some or all alternative investments may not be suitable for certain investors. Investments in small and mid-cap corporations and foreign entities are speculative and involve a high degree of risk. An investor could lose all or a substantial amount of his or her investment. Investments may be leveraged and performance may be volatile; they may have high fees and expenses that reduce returns. Securities or legal entities mentioned in this document may not be suitable or appropriate for all investors. Where this document refers to a particular tax treatment, the tax treatment will depend on each investor's particular circumstances and may be subject to future change. Each investor's particular needs, investment objectives and financial situation were not taken into account in the preparation of this document and the material contained herein. Each investor must make his or her own independent decisions and obtain their own independent advice regarding any information, projects, securities, tax treatment or financial instruments mentioned herein. The fact that Hardman & Co has made available through this document various information constitutes neither a recommendation to enter into a particular transaction nor a representation that any financial instrument is suitable or appropriate for you. Each investor should consider whether an investment strategy of the purchase or sale of any product or security is appropriate for them in the light of their investment needs, objectives and financial circumstances.

This document constitutes a 'financial promotion' for the purposes of section 21 Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (United Kingdom) ('FSMA') and accordingly has been approved by Capital Markets Strategy Ltd which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA).

No part of this document may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission from Hardman & Co. By accepting this document, the recipient agrees to be bound by the limitations set out in this notice. This notice shall be governed and construed in accordance with English law. Hardman Research Ltd, trading as Hardman & Co, is an appointed representative of Capital Markets Strategy Ltd and is authorised and regulated by the FCA under registration number 600843. Hardman Research Ltd is registered at Companies House with number 8256259.

 $({\it Disclaimer Version\,8-Effective\,from\,August\,2018})$

Status of Hardman & Co's research under MiFID II

Some professional investors, who are subject to the new MiFID II rules from 3rd January, may be unclear about the status of Hardman & Co research and, specifically, whether it can be accepted without a commercial arrangement. Hardman & Co's research is paid for by the companies, legal entities and issuers about which we write and, as such, falls within the scope of 'minor non-monetary benefits', as defined in the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive II.

In particular, Article 12(3) of the Directive states: The following benefits shall qualify as acceptable minor non-monetary benefits only if they are: (b) 'written material from a third party that is commissioned and paid for by a corporate issuer or potential issuer to promote a new issuance by the company, or where the third party firm is contractually engaged and paid by the issuer to produce such material on an ongoing basis, provided that the relationship is clearly disclosed in the material and that the material is made available at the same time to any investment firms wishing to receive it or to the general public...'

The fact that Hardman & Co is commissioned to write the research is disclosed in the disclaimer, and the research is widely available.

The full detail is on page 26 of the full directive, which can be accessed here: http://ec.europa.eu/finance/docs/level-2-measures/mifid-delegated-regulation-2016-2031.pdf

In addition, it should be noted that MiFID II's main aim is to ensure transparency in the relationship between fund managers and brokers/suppliers, and eliminate what is termed 'inducement', whereby free research is provided to fund managers to encourage them to deal with the broker. Hardman & Co is not inducing the reader of our research to trade through us, since we do not deal in any security or legal entity.

